

REMARKS

Claims 27, 29-52, 63, 65-88, and 92-95 were rejected as being based upon a defective reissue under 35 U.S.C. § 251. The Office Action indicated that an appropriate supplemental reissue oath/declaration under 37 C.F.R. § 1.175(b)(1) will overcome this rejection. Accordingly, an appropriate supplemental oath/declaration under 37 U.S.C. § 1.175 is concurrently-filed herewith. Withdrawal of the rejection of Claims 27, 29-52, 63, 65-88, and 92-95 is therefore respectfully requested.

For the reasons set forth above, it is respectfully submitted that all of the pending claims are now in condition for allowance. Therefore, the issuance of a formal Notice of Allowance is believed next in order, and that action is most earnestly solicited.

The Examiner is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned by telephone if it is believed that such contact would further the examination of the present application.

Please charge any shortages or credit any overages to Deposit Account No. 50-1302.

Respectfully submitted,

HICKMAN PALERMO TRUONG & BECKER LLP

/Daniel D. Ledesma#57181/

Daniel D. Ledesma

Reg. No. 57,181

Date: March 17, 2011

2055 Gateway Place, Suite 550

San Jose, CA 95110-1083

Telephone: (408) 414-1080 ext. 229

Facsimile: (408) 414-1076